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Abstract

Many-to-one packet routing and scheduling are fundamental operations of sensor networks. It is well known that many sensor network applications rely on data collection from the nodes (the sensors) by a central processing device. There is a wide range of data gathering applications like: target and hazard detection, environmental monitoring, battlefield surveillance, etc. Consequently, efficient data collection solutions are needed to improve the performance of the network. In this paper, we assume a known distribution of sources (each node wants to transmit at most one packet) and one common destination (called base station). We provide via simple mathematical models, a transmission schedule for routing all the messages to the base station, jointly minimizing both the completion time and the average packet delivery time. We present improved lower bounds for linear, two-branch, and star (or multi-branch) network topologies. All our algorithms run in polynomial time.

1 Introduction

The advancement of Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) and Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) technology combined with low power, low cost, digital signal processors (DSPs) and radio frequency (RF) circuits have contributed a lot to the development of micro-sensor systems. Such systems can combine signal processing, data storage, wireless communication capabilities and energy sources on a single chip. Possibility distributed over a wide area, networks of such devices can autonomously perform various sensing tasks such as environmental (seismic, meteorological) monitoring and military surveillance [1]. These networks are referred to as wireless ad-hoc sensor networks or simply sensor networks. It can also be a collection of mobile sensor nodes that dynamically form a temporary network without the use of any existing network infrastructure or centralized administration.

An important problem in radio networks is scheduling the forwarding of information gathered by sensor nodes. The scheduling process is intended to prevent collisions that might arise from improper or inefficient use of the network resources by random messaging across the network without taking into account the network model. In this work, we aim to solve via simple mathematical models, the following problem: Given a certain topology of a radio network and a network model, initial information (messages) located at some nodes and a single designated destination, we analyze and find (our target function) an optimal scheduling solution such for all the messages to be routed to the destination in a minimum completion time as well as a minimum average delivery time. We present polynomial time solutions for our problem for three network topologies: Linear, Two-branch, and Star (or multi-branch) network.

Our research can be practically implemented in these networks: for example, whenever a node has a packet to transmit, it sends a very short message (save battery energy) called a “Schedule Request” to a central computer that serves as the only destination in the network. This computer is called a Base Station (BS). The requests can be sent over an upstream control channel.

Our problem has been partially addressed over the past few decades. A number of works (see [2–18]) discuss radio networks under a similar network model, but with a different target function that leads to maximizing the number of transmissions in one hop without referring to specific sources and destinations across the networks. This problem and its variations are known to be NP-hard. Other works (see, e.g., [19–27]) considered our problem but under other (weaker) network models. For example, [19] uses the same target function as we suggest, but it discusses several variations of “hot potato” routing. In this model each node can successfully receive and transmit more than one message simultaneously. Sridhara and Krishnamachari [28] deal with some problem of converge-casting flows with rate control from nodes to the root of the given routing tree of the network. Lau and Zhang [29] and Krumme et al. [30] also study the gossiping problem in a two-dimensional grid network topology. They have suggested that the gossiping problem can be studied under four different communication models, which have different restrictions on the use of the links, as well as the ability of a node in handling its incident links. The four models being considered are: (1) the full-duplex, all port model, (2) the full-duplex, one-port model, (3) the half-duplex, all-port model, and (4) the half-duplex, one port model, which can be identified by the labels F*, F1, H*, and H1 respectively.

We assume the network model denoted H1 [29,30] or called “The half duplex one port model”, since this model of communication makes the weakest assumptions about both hardware and software capabilities. Gronkvist [31] assumes a stochastic model for the general network topology problem and presents a number of results under this model. Finally, Florens and McEliece [32] consider exactly our problem under a criterion of minimum completion time, ignoring the requirement of minimizing average delivery time. In fact, their scheduling strategy does not take into account the idle time of the messages and produces unnecessary dependences among messages. This, consequently, causes unnecessary delays for messages. For example, it is unreasonable to not transmit a message toward the destination if it can be transmitted without any delay. They [32] also do not provide any time-complexity analysis of their algorithms. On the other hand, our algorithms’ results can serve as new lower bounds taking into account the both criteria: minimizing the time completion and average delivery time.

It should be noted that the presented (optimal) data gathering algorithms are centralized and require cooperation between nodes which is not necessarily compatible with the requirements of sensor networks. Therefore for stronger requirements, these algorithms may no longer be practical. However, they continue to provide a lower bound on data gathering time of any given collection schedule. We focused our analysis on systems equipped with directional antenna since from comparison results (with respect to completion time) between directional antenna systems to omni-directional antenna systems obtained by Florens and McEliece [32] it follows that former outperforms the later by 50% on Linear Network. The idea of using directional antenna in wireless communication is not new. It has been already extensively used in base station of cellular networks for frequency reuse, to reduce interference, and to increase the capacity of allowable users within a cell [33]. However, the applications of
directional antenna to wireless ad-hoc or sensor network to reduce the transmit power of each node to achieve power-efficiency in routing problem is relatively new.

This paper is organized as follows: First we explain the network and channel model with a precise definition of our problem. Next, we address the Linear Network case. After that, we generalize our results to work for two-branch networks. The optimal scheduling strategy under both target functions for star network is explained in Section 3.3. Finally we conclude the paper with directions for further research.

2 Network and Channel Model

A sensor or ad-hoc network is modeled as a graph $G(V,E)$ with $N$ nodes $\{v_0,v_1,\ldots,v_N\}$, where each node $v_i$ is a sensor that can transmit and receive data. There is an edge $(v_i,v_j)$ if $v_i$ can hear $v_j$'s transmissions when $v_i$ points its directional transmission antenna towards $v_j$. We assume that at time $t=t_0$, each node $v_i$ has at most one message to transmit to the destination. This is referred to as a legal input. The network has a special node $v_0$, referred to as the Base Station (BS), which is the destination of all messages. We also assume that every node in the network including the BS has the same transmission range $r$ and that a node can not transmit and receive message at the same time. In principle, any node can keep an arbitrary number of messages, however as we will see later in our problems it is enough to assume that the capacity of each node's buffer is one message. We assume that all the information about the input and topology of the network is available at the BS and there are separate, collision free, control channels between the BS and the other nodes. We also assume the use of directional antennas. The signal from node $v_i$ to node $v_j$ propagates in a straight line in the direction of node $v_j$ without dispersing to other directions. Based on those assumptions, the conditions for a successful transmission are: A message from node $v_i$ that is transmitted to node $v_j$ if $i,j \geq 0$, arrives successfully to node $v_j$ if for all simultaneous transmissions from $v_i$ and $v_j$ is not transmitted in the current time slot (TS). A node can either transmit or receive in one TS. A one delay time or one idle time is defined as any time unit during which a message sits at a note without being transmitted. The term schedule is a specific indication when a node should transmit.

3 Problem Statement and Our Performance Measure

Given a network topology with $N$ nodes, $M$ of which have messages for BS, the goal is to find an optimal scheduling algorithm that schedules and routes all the messages to the BS in a minimum time (primary criterion) and also minimizes the average message-delivery time. Let $T_{end}$ the minimum completion time for all messages to reach the destination, and $T_i$ the time it takes for message $i$ to reach the destination. The delay time or idle time $\Delta_i$ of a message $m_i$ is a total sum of delay times that $m_i$ incurs from $t_0$ until arriving at the destination. Denote by $S$ the minimum sum of idle times for all messages. Thus the target function is:

$$\min \{\max_{v_i} T_i \}$$

$$S = \min \sum \Delta_i$$

3.1 Analysis – Linear (Line) Networks

Each sensor plays a role of node in the graph $G(V,E)$. The network is static and the base station (BS) is always at the end of the network. The distance between each two nodes will be denoted by $d$. Each node has a directional antenna with a range $d \leq r < 2d$. In fact, node only hears its left-hand neighbor in this topology. A node $v_i$ is said to be at $i$ hops from the BS. We assume a realistic situation where a node cannot transmit and receive simultaneously and the transmission can be done only in one direction (from left to right).

Following our problem definition we would like to prove that, there exists an optimal scheduling algorithm that can handle any type of a legal input $x$ from the collection of all the possible legal inputs $X$. We denote by $d(v_i,v_j)$ the distance, measured in number of hops, between node $v_i$ and node $v_j$. We define a group of messages as a finite set of messages, with the smallest group having only one message.

For our analysis we would like to give some helpful definitions. Let $\hat{v}_i$ be a node having a message $i$ to transmit. Input optimal state denoted by $X^o \in \mathcal{X}$ is a situation when the distance between any two adjacent nodes with messages to be send is at least 2 (i.e. $d(\hat{v}_i,\hat{v}_{i+1}) \geq 2$). Optimal minimal state input $X^w \in \mathcal{X}$ is a situation when the distance between any two adjacent nodes with messages to be sent is exactly 2. Non-optimal input $\overline{X}$ is every input that violates $X^w$ definition. Lets we define the maximum transmission rate (and also propagation of messages) of messages denoted by $R$ as the maximal rate that the messages successfully flows toward the BS. We assume that the capacity of each node's buffer is one message (our model, two simultaneously transmission of those messages defined as a collision). Message $m_j$ is said to be dependent on message $m_i$ in optimal solution satisfying (1) and (2) applied to $\pi \in \mathcal{X}$, if $m_j$ is not transmitted in the current time slot because we need to transmit $m_j$. We will denote by $u_i$ the group of maximal length that is transmitted at the maximum rate $R$. We define that two groups $u_i$ and $u_j$ of maximal length are independent if the messages belonging to some group can be transmitted in at maximum rate without being delayed by the messages of other group. We say that groups $u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_k$ of maximal length are independent if messages belonging to $u_i$ are not delayed by messages of groups $u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_{i-1},2 \leq i \leq k$. We denote this fact by $[u_i]_i$. 

2
Lemma 1: Given \( \bar{x} \in X \) and \( \mu_{j} \in \mathbb{N} \), if the maximal transmission rate of \( u_{i} \) is \( R_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \), then either \( \forall v_{i}, v_{i+1} \in u_{i}, d(v_{i}, v_{i+1}) = 2 \) or a group \( u_{i} \) has a structure of non-optimal input.

Theorem 2: Given that, \( \bar{x} \in X \), any algorithm applied on the input that starts immediate transmission of messages from the maximal lengths group and produces the output: \( \tilde{u} = (u_{1}, R_{1}) \) \( (u_{2}, R_{2}) \) \( \ldots (u_{M}, R_{M}) \) always leads to optimal solution satisfying eq. (1).

Theorem 3: Given \( x \in X \) with \( M \) as the total number of messages, any algorithm that achieves the conditions of Theorem 3, also minimizes \( S = \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \).

Proof: Since the input \( x \) can be decomposed into \( \{u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, M \} \in X \) (will be shown later), it is sufficient to show the theorem for a single \( u_{i} \) that contain \( M \) messages, \( 1 \leq M \leq M \).

The algorithm is applied in parallel on all \( u_{i} \) and each message is transmitted immediately at the maximal possible rate. Let \( T_{m_{i}} \) be the time it takes for a message \( m_{i} \in u \) to reach the destination. We will prove that any algorithm achieving \( \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \) and satisfying condition of Theorem 2 also produces \( \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \). We distinguish between two cases.

Case A: When a configuration of \( u_{i} \) is optimal then \( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} = 0 \), and the proof follows immediately.

Case B: When the configuration \( u_{i} \) is non-optimal, we proceed in the following way. First we make some useful notations. Let \( \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} \) be the time it takes for all messages in a group \( u_{i} \) to reach the destination (the completion time of \( u_{i} \)), and \( \tilde{T}_{m_{i}} \) be the time it takes for a message \( m_{i} \in u \) to reach the destination without any delays. Then, the following holds: \( \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} = \max_{\forall m_{i} \in u_{i}} T_{m_{i}} \), \( \tilde{T}_{m_{i}} = \max_{\forall m_{i} \in u_{i}} T_{m_{i}} \), \( \Delta_{u_{i}} = \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} - \tilde{T}_{m_{i}} \geq 0 \), \( \forall m_{i} \in u \). Since \( u_{i} \) is non-optimal input it follows from Lemma 1 that messages from \( u_{i} \) will be transmitted at rate \( \frac{1}{2} \) and also will be arrived at destination with rate \( \frac{1}{2} \). Therefore, if the last message \( m_{u_{i}} \) from \( u_{i} \) arrives to destination at time \( T_{m_{u_{i}}} = \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} \), then, the one before last message arrives to destination at time \( \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} - 2 \), and so on. Thus, we have \( \Delta_{u_{i}} = \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} - \tilde{T}_{m_{u_{i}}} \), \( \Delta_{u_{i}} = \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} - \tilde{T}_{m_{u_{i}}} - 2 \).

Algorithm Linear Network: For \( \forall v_{i} \in V \), transmit immediately if its neighbor to the right \( v_{i+1} \) has no message to transmit. Again, since the input \( x \) can be decomposed into \( \{u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, M \} \in X \) (will be shown later), it is sufficient to show the correctness of the algorithm for a single \( u_{i} \). We will show below by induction that our algorithm achieves \( \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \) and satisfies conditions of Theorem 2. It remains to show that no other algorithm can lead to smaller sum of delays. We notice that, \( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \) is a constant term as well as \( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \).

Therefore, by minimizing the term \( \tilde{T}_{u_{i}} \) we minimize the total sum of delays produced by any algorithm that achieves \( T_{m_{i}} \) and satisfies conditions of Theorem 2. It remains to show that no other algorithm can lead to smaller sum of delays. We notice that, \( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \) is a constant term as well as \( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \).

Since the input \( x \) can be decomposed into \( \{u_{i}, i=1, \ldots, M \} \in X \) (will be shown later), it is sufficient to show the correctness of the algorithm for a single \( u_{i} \). We will show below by induction that our algorithm achieves \( \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \). Let \( M \) be the number of messages in a group \( u_{i} \). If \( M = 1 \), the only message is transmitted in each time slot until it reaches the destination. Assume that \( \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \) holds for \( M = L \) messages and let \( T_{m_{i}} = \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \). We will prove that \( \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \) holds for \( M = L+1 \) messages. If \( m_{L+1} \) is independent on \( m_{L} \) then \( m_{L+1} \) will arrive at the destination at time \( T_{m_{i}} \) + 2. If \( m_{L+1} \) is independent on the rest of messages in \( u_{i} \) then the minimal optimal time to reach the destination is \( T_{m_{i}} = d(BS, v_{L+1}) \) which is achieved by our algorithm. Thus, the algorithm reaches \( \min \left( \sum_{i=1}^{M} \Delta_{i} \right) \).
3.2 Analysis – Two Branch Networks

Similar to the Linear Network case, we have the following topology:

![Two branch network](image)

Figure 1: Two branch network

The difference from the previous case is in the location of BS: now it can be located everywhere on the line.

Denote by $V^1 = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{N_1}\}$ a set of nodes to the left of BS (denoted by $v_0$) and $V^2 = \{v_2, v_3, ..., v_{N_2}\}$ a set of nodes to the right of BS. The transmission can be done only in one direction: the nodes belonging to $V^1$ can transmit messages only from left to right, while the nodes belonging to $V^2$ can transmit messages from right to left. The branch containing $V^1$ nodes is called a left branch (in short, 1-branch), while the branch with $V^2$ nodes is called a right branch (in short, Two-Branch).

Each $j$-branch, $j=1,2$ has a legal input $x^j$. The goal is to transmit $M$ messages to BS, $M \leq N_1 + N_2$, when each node wants to transmit at most one message. In other words, 1-branch nodes transmit $M_1 \leq N_1$ messages to BS and 2-branch nodes transmit $M_2 \leq N_2$ messages to BS. The rest of the model as well as target functions are the same as in Linear Network case. Let us denote by $T^1_j$ to be an arrival time of message $m^1_j$ from $j$-branch at destination BS. The delay time or idle time $\Delta^j_i$ of a message $m^1_j$ is a total sum of delays that $m^1_j$ underwent until arriving to the destination BS. We are interested in optimal scheduling algorithm to minimize the following criteria: $T_{end,\text{min}} = \max_{\text{in}(\text{1-branch},j=1,2)} T^1_j$ and

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M_j} \Delta^j_i.$$ 

Suppose that we apply Linear Network Algorithm from previous section to 1-branch and 2-branch independently.

**Case 1:** Arrival times $T^1_i, i = 1, ..., M_1$ are pair-wise different from arrival times $T^2_k, k = 1, ..., M_2$.

**Case 2:** There exist $i'$ and $k'$ such that $T^1_{i'} = T^2_{k'}$.

The case 1 is very simple, since all the messages in 1-branch are independent from the messages in 2-branch, because, the BS has only one message to serve from its adjacent node belonging to the left or the right branch.

Therefore, $T_{end,\text{min}} = \max(T^1_{end,\text{min}}, T^2_{end,\text{min}})$, where $T^j_{end,\text{min}}, j = 1,2$ are the minimum time to reach the BS independently for 1-branch and 2-branch messages, respectively.

Clearly,

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{M_j} \Delta^j_i = \sum_{i=1}^{M_1} \Delta^1_i + \sum_{i=1}^{M_2} \Delta^2_i = S_1 + S_2,$$

where $S_1$ and $S_2$ are the minimum sum of idle times to reach the BS independently for 1-branch and 2-branch messages, respectively. It remains to show how to deal with the case 2. The problem is what should be the optimal policy for choosing the appropriate message to transmit?

Should the message $m^1_j$ scheduled before or after the message $m^2_k$? From the previous section analysis it follows that all the messages from 1-branch as well as a messages from 2-branch have been partitioned into independent groups of maximal length $\{m^1_j\}_{j=1}^{M_1}$ and $\{m^2_k\}_{k=1}^{M_2}$, respectively.

**Lemma 4**

Assume that $i'$ and $k'$ are minimal that satisfy $T^1_{i'} = T^2_{k'}$. Assume, w.l.o.g., that algorithm decides to schedule $m^1_j \in u^1_{i'}$, $1 \leq r \leq s$ before $m^2_j \in \tilde{u}^2_{k'}$, $1 \leq h \leq t$. The new idle time $\Delta^2_{m^j}$ of $m^j_i \in u^j_i$ such that $d(\hat{v}_{u^j_i}, BS) \geq d(\hat{v}_{m^j_i}, BS)$ is $\Delta^2_{m^j_i} = \Delta^2_{m^j_i} + 1$.

However, as a result of delaying messages from $u^j_i$, a number of independent groups of maximal length from $\{m^j_i\}_{j=1}^{M_j}$ may become dependent and form (several) another independent group(s).

In order to check this we observe that it may happen for any two adjacent groups $u^j_i$ and $u^{j+1}_i$ if $\Delta^j_i = 0$ when $m^j_i$ is the last message in $u^j_i$ and $m^i_j$ is the first message in $u^{j+1}_i$. In this case, Lemma 4 holds also for messages from $u^j_i$. We call the new groups as independent groups of maximal length of first order and denote them as $\{m^1_j\}_{j=1}^{M_1}$ (similarly, $\{m^2_j\}_{j=1}^{M_2}$). Then, each one of these groups can be transmitted at maximal rate of 1/2 (assuming that groups from other branch do not disturb). (Similarly, we can define independent groups of second, third order and so on).

**Algorithm Two Branch Network:** For both branches compute independent groups of first order $\{m^1_j\}_{j=1}^{M_1}$ and $\{m^2_j\}_{j=1}^{M_2}$. Apply Algorithm Linear Network Algorithm from previous section to 1-branch and 2-branch independently, starting to transmit messages from both branches one by one. In the case of collision, i.e. when some messages from both branches arrive simultaneously at BS, we choose to schedule the message from the group that have the largest number of remaining messages to transmit. In the case that both groups have the same number of remaining messages then, we choose arbitrary.

**Theorem 5:** The scheduling producing by Two Branch Network Algorithm achieves the minimum completion time $T_{end,\text{min}}$.

We provide a lower bound for $S$. Suppose that the total number of collisions at the BS when we apply Linear Network Algorithm to 1-branch and 2-branch independently is $m$.

**Lemma 6:** $S \geq S_1 + S_2 + m$.

**Theorem 7:** The scheduling produced by Two Branch Network Algorithm achieves minimum $S$.

The runtime of Two Branch Network Algorithm is dominated by Linear Network Algorithm which is $O(N^3)$.

3.3 Analysis – Star Networks

This is most interesting case when we have $k$ branches with joint BS. We denote by $V^1 = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{N_1}\}$ $V^2 = \{v_2, v_3, ..., v_{N_2}\}$ $...$ $V^k = \{v_k, v_{k+1}, $ $...$ $V_{N_k}\}$
to be the sets of nodes on every branch, respectively and by $v_0$ we denote the BS. The transmission is done only in one direction: towards the BS. The branch containing $V^{i}$ nodes is called $i$-branch. We will work under the assumption that no interference happens between nodes of adjacent branches, though in practice the interference might be inevitable. However, we strongly believe that our model can serve as a good lower bound for stronger models. The goal is again to transmit $M$ messages to destination BS, $M \leq \sum_{j} N_{j}$, when each node wants to transmit at most one message. In other words, $i$-branch nodes transmit $M_{j} \leq N_{j}$ messages to BS. Let us denote by $T_{i}^{j}$ to be an arrival time of message $m_{j}^{i}$ from $j$-branch at destination BS. The delay time or idle time $\Delta_{j}^{i}$ of a message $m_{j}^{i}$ is a total sum of delays that $m_{j}^{i}$ underwent until arriving to the destination BS. Thus, we are interested in optimal scheduling algorithm that brings to minimum the following criteria:

$$T_{end} = \max_{\forall m_{j}^{i} \neq j \text{-branch}, j=1,2,...,k} T_{j}^{i} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \sum_{j} \Delta_{j}^{i}.$$ Here we propose a polynomial time efficient algorithm that finds an optimal scheduling algorithm.

We start by distinguishing two cases, similar to analysis for 2-branch network. Suppose that we apply Linear Network Algorithm to any $i$-branch independently. If arrival times $T_{j}^{i}, i=1,...,M_{j}^{i}, T_{j}^{i}, i=1,...,M_{j}^{i}, T_{j}^{i}, i=1,...,M_{j}^{i}$ are pair-wise different (as the trivial case considering Two Branch Network Algorithm) then, all the messages in $i$-branch are independent from the messages in $j$-branch ($i \neq j$). Thus, the algorithm is optimal in terms of the two criteria.

When a collision occurs at the BS, we assume that $k \geq 3$ (the network consists of at least Three branches). Let us consider the following situation which is called a static state: suppose that we have a number $t_{j} \leq k$ of branches $i_1, i_2, ..., i_t$ such that (i) the closest message to BS in each such branch is at distance 1 to BS, (ii) all messages from each such branch are guaranteed to be transmitted at rate 1/2, independently, and (iii) the rest of branches do not have any message to transmit. Notice, that condition (ii) implies that each one of $t$ branches contains one independent group of (at least) first order.

Let $res_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq t$ be a number of messages in $i_j$-branch. If $t = 2$ Two Branch Network Algorithm transmits all the messages optimally to destination BS. For $t \geq 2$ we have the following algorithm that solves optimally static state.

**Algorithm Star Network:** If there exist $res_{q}, 1 \leq q \leq t$ such that,

$$\sum_{q=1}^{t} res_{q} \leq \lceil \text{sum} / 2 \rceil$$

then BS first serve the $q$-branch and any of the rest $t$ branches, alternately. Otherwise, let $\sum = \sum_{j=1}^{t} res_{j}$, Split all the messages in two groups: $A$ with $\lfloor \text{sum} / 2 \rfloor$ messages and $B$ with $\lceil \text{sum} / 2 \rceil$ messages as following: $A$ will contain all the messages from $i_1$-branch, $i_2$-branch, ..., $i_f$-branch, such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{f} res_{j} \leq \lceil \text{sum} / 2 \rceil \quad \text{but} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{f} res_{j} < \lceil \text{sum} / 2 \rceil.$$ In addition, $A$ will contain (if needed) a prefix messages from $i_{f+1}$-branch that are closest to BS such that the total number of messages in $A$ is exactly $\lfloor \text{sum} / 2 \rfloor$. Group $B$ will contain the rest of messages. BS serves any of available messages at distance 1 from BS alternately from A and B, starting from A, with the priority given to messages of $i_{f+1}$-branch, if $\sum_{j=1}^{f} res_{j} < \lceil \text{sum} / 2 \rceil$. Otherwise, there is no priority in serving messages.

Theorem 8: The scheduling produced by Star Network Algorithm achieves minimum $T_{end}$ starting at static state.

Theorem 9: The scheduling produced by Star Network Algorithm achieves minimum $S$ starting at static state.

Next, we will show that some adaptation of Star Network Algorithm provides optimal solution for minimal $T_{end}$ and minimal $S$. Assume there is an optimal scheduling algorithm (that satisfies two given criteria) for our problem. We say that a message $m_{j}^{i}$ is dependent on message $m_{j}^{i'}$ if in the optimal scheduling strategy, $m_{j}^{i'}$ is forced to be delayed as a result of $m_{j}^{i}$ transmission. In order to define a phase we determine the dependence between pairs of messages in our input. Let us put a logical edge between each pair of messages $(m_{j}^{i}, m_{j}^{i'})$ such that $m_{j}^{i}$ is dependent on message $m_{j}^{i'}$. A phase is a set of messages in the largest connected component of obtained graph with logical edges that contains the closest message to BS. We say that phase is started, when the first message belonging to phase is received by BS. We say that phase is completed, when the last message belonging to phase is received by BS. During the execution of our algorithm we may have a number of phases. Let us denote them: $1$-phase, $2$-phase, ..., $k$-phase, correspondingly. We show an optimal scheduling after applying Linear Network Algorithm to any $i$-branch independently.

Let us consider independent groups of (at least) first order $(i_{1}^{1}, i_{2}^{1}, ..., i_{f_{1}}^{1}), (i_{1}^{2}, i_{2}^{2}, ..., i_{f_{2}}^{2}), \ldots, (i_{1}^{k}, i_{2}^{k}, ..., i_{f_{k}}^{k})$ similarly to the Two Branch Network Algorithm case (i.e. the messages of each group can be transmitted at rate 1/2). Each group $w_{j}^{i}$ contains $n_{j}^{i}$ messages. Assume that we apply Linear Network algorithm to any $i$-branch independently and a collision at the BS occurs at time $t+1$.

Suppose, as before, that we have $f, f \leq k$ of branches $i_1, i_2, ..., i_f$ such that the nearest message to BS at time $t$ in each such branch is at distance 1, that belong to $w_{j_1}^{i_1}, w_{j_2}^{i_2}, \ldots, w_{j_f}^{i_f}$, correspondingly. Denote by $RES(w_{j}^{i}), 1 \leq s \leq f$ be a set of messages in $i_{j}$-branch in group $w_{j}^{i}$ in time $t$ and by $|RES(w_{j}^{i})|, 1 \leq s \leq f$ be a number of messages in $i_{j}$-branch in group $w_{j}^{i}$ in time $t$. Let $R(t) = \cup_{i=1}^{k} RES(w_{j}^{i})$.

We apply the Star Network Algorithm for $R(t)$ in order to obtain optimal scheduling algorithm. Notice as time $t$ grows up at each step, the set $R(t)$ undergoing changes and at each step we apply Star Network Algorithm to an updated set $R(t)$. 


Observation 1

If optimal scheduling algorithm completes transmitting the last message of i-phase with x messages in time t, then our algorithm also completes transmitting the last message of i-phase in time t.

Suppose that the first message of i-phase has been transmitted by the optimal scheduling algorithm in time t. In order to see the correctness of the above observation we distinguish between 2 cases: (a) $t = x - t^* - 1$, and (b) $t = x + t^* - 1$. For case (a) it means that either (i) there is a branch with a number of messages that is larger than or equal to $x/2 + 1$ at time t or (ii) starting from some critical time $t''$ ($t'' > t$), there is a branch with a number of messages that is larger than the total number of messages in other branches, i.e. larger than $|R(t'')|/2$ and its happens after some critical time $t''$. The inequality for case (a)(i) holds during all the steps of i-phase. Therefore, we have to complete with the last message of i-phase in this branch. Since Star Network Algorithm leads to maximizing a number of messages transmitted at rate 1, the claim follows. Regarding case (a)(ii) we point out that even if the critical time for Star Network Algorithm is smaller than $t''$, still the last message of this phase is transmitted from the same branch and at the same time. This is due to the fact that at each step, Star Network Algorithm maximizes the number of messages transmitted at rate 1. For case (b), it means that all messages in optimal scheduling algorithm were sent at rate 1. Since the main property of Star Network Algorithm is to maximize a number of transmitted messages at rate 1, we obtain the desired result.

Theorem 10: The scheduling algorithm explained above achieves minimum $T_{end}$ and minimum S.

We notice that we can maintain on the fly the set $R(t)$ and know the exact number of messages in $RES^i(w_i^j)$, $1 \leq s \leq f$. Thus, the overall running time is $O(kN^2)$, where k is the number of branches and N is the number of nodes in the network.
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