

Multivariable Nevanlinna-class functions: positive kernels and transfer-function realizations

Joseph A. Ball

Department of Mathematics, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA
joint work with Dmitry Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, Drexel University

Function Theory and Operator Theory:
Infinite Dimensional and Free Settings
Beer-Sheva: June 28, 2011

Outline of topics

Kinds of functions

Schur-Agler class over \mathbb{D}^d

Herglotz-Agler class over \mathbb{D}^d

Schur-Agler class over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$

Bessmertnyi class over $\cup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} (\lambda \mathbb{C}_+)^d$

Herglotz-Agler class over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$

Goal:

Definitions and realization theory, general and rational case

Flavors of realization: conservative, energy-conserving, co-energy-conserving; dissipative

Two types of domains:

$\Omega = \mathbb{D}^d$: discrete-time linear systems

$\Omega = (\mathbb{C}_+)^d$: continuous-time linear systems

$d > 1 \Rightarrow$ multidimensional linear systems

Schur-Agler class over \mathbb{D}^d

Theorem (Agler 1990):

Given $S: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$, T.F.A.E.:

1. $S \in \mathcal{SA}_d(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$: $S: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ & $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathcal{CB}\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})^d \Rightarrow \|S(\mathbf{T})\| \leq 1$.
2. S has an Agler decomposition: there exist positive kernels $K_k(z, w)$ on \mathbb{D}^d with
$$I - S(z)S(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) K_k(z, w)$$
3. S has a unitary/conservative Givone-Roesser realization: there exist state space \mathcal{X} with spectral resolution $\{P_1, \dots, P_d\}$, and unitary $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}: \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$ so that

$$S(z) = D + C(I - Z(z)A)^{-1}Z(z)B$$

where $Z(z) = z_1 P_1 + \dots + z_d P_d$

Transfer function of Givone-Roesser system

$S(z) = D + C(I - Z(z)A)^{-1}Z(z)B$ = transfer function of GR system

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(n+\mathbf{e}_1) \\ \vdots \\ x_d(n+\mathbf{e}_d) \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} x_1(n) \\ \vdots \\ x_d(n) \end{bmatrix} + Bu(n)$$
$$y(n) = C \begin{bmatrix} x_1(n) \\ \vdots \\ x_d(n) \end{bmatrix} + Du(n)$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$. $\mathbf{e}_k = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ standard basis vectors ($k = 1, \dots, d$)

Sketch of proof

(1) \Rightarrow (2): Cone-separation argument

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Lurking-isometry argument

Write $K_k(z, w) = H_k(z)H_k(w)^*$ so Agler decomposition becomes

$$I - S(z)S(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) H_k(z)H_k(w)^* \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\langle \sum_{k=1}^d z_k \bar{w}_k H_k(z)H_k(w)^* y_w, y_z \rangle + \langle y_w, y_z \rangle =$$

$$\langle \sum_{k=1}^d H_k(z)H_k(w)^* y_w, y_z \rangle + \langle S(z)S(w)^* y_w, y_z \rangle \Leftrightarrow$$

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} Z(w)^* H(w)^* \\ I \end{bmatrix} y_w, \begin{bmatrix} Z(z)^* H(z)^* \\ I \end{bmatrix} y_z \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} H(w)^* \\ S(w)^* \end{bmatrix} y_w, \begin{bmatrix} H(z)^* \\ S(z)^* \end{bmatrix} y_z \right\rangle$$

so $V: \begin{bmatrix} Z(w)^* H(w)^* \\ I \end{bmatrix} y_w \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} H(w)^* \\ S(w)^* \end{bmatrix} y_w$ extends to isometry from

$$\mathcal{D} := \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} Z(w)^* H(w)^* \\ I \end{bmatrix} y_w \right\} \text{ onto } \mathcal{R} := \left\{ \overline{\text{span}} \begin{bmatrix} H(w)^* \\ S(w)^* \end{bmatrix} y_w \right\}$$

Proof of (2) \Rightarrow (3) continued

Extend V to a **unitary** $\mathbf{U}^* = \begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix} : \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{U}$.

Then $\begin{bmatrix} A^* & C^* \\ B^* & D^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Z(w)^* H(w)^* \\ I \end{bmatrix} y_w = \begin{bmatrix} H(w)^* \\ S(w)^* \end{bmatrix} y_w \Rightarrow$

$H(w)^* y_w = (I - A^* Z(w)^*)^{-1} C^* y_w$ and then

$(B^* Z(w)^* (I - A^* Z(w)^*)^{-1} C^* + D^*) y_w = S(w)^* y_w \Rightarrow$

$S(z) = D + C(I - Z(z)A)^{-1} Z(z)B$ with $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ **unitary**.

Canonical functional model version (B.-Bolotnikov 2010)

Use $\widehat{K}_k(z, w) = e_k(z)e_k(w)^*$ where $e_k: \mathcal{H}(\widehat{K}_k) \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \oplus \mathcal{U}$

evaluation maps: $e_k(z): \begin{bmatrix} f_k \\ g_k \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} f_k(z) \\ g_k(z) \end{bmatrix}$

with $\widehat{K}_k = \begin{bmatrix} K_k^L & K_k^{LR} \\ K_k^{RL} & K_k^R \end{bmatrix}$ positive kernels giving **2-sided Agler decom.**

$\begin{bmatrix} I - S(z)S(w)^* & S(z) - S(\bar{w}) \\ S(\bar{z})^* - S(w)^* & I - S(\bar{z})^* S(\bar{w}) \end{bmatrix} = \sum \begin{bmatrix} (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) K_k^L(z, w) & (z_k - \bar{w}_k) K_k^{LR}(z, w) \\ (z_k - \bar{w}_k) K_k^{RL}(z, w) & (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) K_k^R(z, w) \end{bmatrix}$

Then $\mathcal{X}_k = \mathcal{H}_k(\widehat{K}_k) \Rightarrow$ de Branges-Rovnyak functional model

The rational inner case

Assume $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{C}^N$.

S inner: $S(z)$ unitary values a.e. for $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$

Theorem:

Given $S: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, T.F.A.E.:

1. S is **rational inner in $\mathcal{SA}_d(\mathbb{C}^N)$** .
2. $S(z) = D + C(I - Z(z)A)^{-1}Z(z)B$ with
 $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}: \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathbb{C}^N$ unitary with $\dim \mathcal{X} < \infty$

History:

- ▶ Cole-Wermer (1999): $N = 1, d = 2$
- ▶ B.-Sadosky-Vinnikov (2005): general $N, d = 2$
- ▶ Kummert (1989): general $N, d = 2$
- ▶ Knese (2010): $N = 1$, general d
- ▶ B.-Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi (2011): general N , general d

Realization of rational inner: idea of the proof

Given S rational, $S(z) = S(z)^{* - 1}$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}^d$ & Agler decom
 $I - S(z)S(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) K_k(z, w)$. Then:

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^d (1 - z_j \bar{w}_j)} I \succeq \frac{I - S(z)S(w)^*}{\prod_{j=1}^d (1 - z_j \bar{w}_j)} \succeq \frac{K_k(z, w)}{\prod_{j \neq k} (1 - z_j \bar{w}_j)} \succeq K_k(z, w) \Rightarrow$$

$\iota^*: k_{S_z}(\cdot, w)y \mapsto K_k(\cdot, w)y$ is contractive from $H_y^2(\mathbb{D}^d)$ to $\mathcal{H}(K_k)$

with adjoint $\iota: f \mapsto f$ equal to the inclusion map \Rightarrow

elements of $\mathcal{H}(K_k)$ are holomorphic on $\mathbb{D}^d \Rightarrow$

$K_k(z, w) = H_k(z)H_k(w)^*$ with $H: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}_k, \mathbb{C}^N)$ holo.

Agler decom with $S(z) = D(z)^{-1}N(z)$ (D and N matrix polys) \Rightarrow

$$D(z)D(w)^* - N(z)N(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) \tilde{H}_k(z) \tilde{H}_k(w)^*$$

Take $z = w = t\mu$ with $t \in \mathbb{D}$, $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^d$ to get

$$\frac{D(t\mu)D(t\mu)^* - N(t\mu)N(t\mu)^*}{1 - |t|^2} = \sum_{k=1}^d \tilde{H}_k(t\mu) \tilde{H}_k(t\mu)^*$$

LHS = poly in t, \bar{t} (since $D(\mu)D(\mu)^* = N(\mu)N(\mu)^*$ for $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^d$) \Rightarrow

[Fourier series computation $\Rightarrow \tilde{H}_k =$ polynomial] \Rightarrow

$\dim \mathcal{X}_k = \dim \mathcal{H}(K_k) < \infty$ as claimed.

Herglotz-Agler class on \mathbb{D}^d

Theorem

Given $\Phi: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$, T.F.A.E.:

- $\Phi \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$, i.e., $\Phi: \mathbb{D}^d \xrightarrow{\text{holo}} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ &
 $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathcal{CBL}(\mathcal{K})^d \Rightarrow \Phi(\mathbf{T}) \in \overline{\mathcal{AL}}(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{K})$
- Φ has an Agler decomposition, i.e., there exist positive kernels $K_k(z, w)$ on \mathbb{D}^d so that
$$\Phi(z) + \Phi(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) K_k(z, w)$$
- Φ has DT-impedance-conservative realization, i.e., there exists state space \mathcal{X} , unitary U on \mathcal{X} , $B: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $\alpha = \alpha^*$ on \mathcal{U} , so that
$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) &= i\alpha + \frac{B^*B}{2} + B^*U^*(I - Z(z)U^*)^{-1}Z(z)B \\ &= i\alpha + \frac{1}{2}B^*(I + U^*Z(z))(I - U^*Z(z))^{-1}B \end{aligned}$$
where $Z(z) = z_1P_1 + \dots + z_dP_d$
($\{P_1, \dots, P_d\}$ = spectral resolution **not** necessarily commuting with U)

Herglotz-Agler decomposition/realization: proofs

Proof 1:

Given $\Phi \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$ with $\Phi(0) > 0$, set $S = (\Phi - I)(\Phi + I)^{-1}$. At the system level, Staffans **diagonal transform**:

$$\hat{u}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u(n) + y(n)), \hat{y}(n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(u(n) - y(n)).$$

Then check: $S \in \mathcal{SA}_d(\mathcal{U})$. Transform back to see the Schur-Agler-class results imply Herglotz-Agler results ($d = 1$: Staffans (MTNS 2002))

Proof 2: Direct lurking-isotropic-subspace argument

$\Phi(z) + \Phi(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (1 - z_k \bar{w}_k) K_k(z, w)$ with

$K_k(z, w) = H_k(z) H_k(w)^* \Rightarrow$

$\mathcal{V} := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} H(z) \\ \Phi(z) \\ Z(z)\Phi(z) \\ I \end{bmatrix} u : z \in \mathbb{D}^d, u \in \mathcal{U} \right\} \subset \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}$ is \mathcal{J} -isotropic

where $\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} -I_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{U}} \\ 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Argue that \mathcal{V} can be embedded inside a \mathcal{J} -isotropic **graph space**:

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \\ I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}$$

\mathcal{V} \mathcal{J} -isotropic forces $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U^* & B \\ B^* U^* & i\alpha + \frac{B^* B}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ with U unitary.

Solve for $\Phi(z) = D + C(I - Z(z)A)^{-1}Z(z)B$ as before.

(B.-Staffans 2006)

Proof 3 via integral representation formula ($d = 1$ only):

$d = 1$: Herglotz integral representation formula

$$\Phi(z) = i\alpha + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\zeta+z}{\zeta-z} d\mu(\zeta) \text{ for positive operator measure } \mu$$

Operator-theoretic interpretation:

Define $B: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow L^\mu$ via $B: u \mapsto \sqrt{2}\mu \cdot u$ and $U = M_\zeta$ on $\mathcal{X} := L^\mu$ where L^μ is the **Hellinger space**:

$$\langle \mu \cdot f, \mu \cdot g \rangle_{L^\mu} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \langle d\mu(\zeta) f(\zeta), g(\zeta) \rangle_{\mathcal{U}}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) &= i\alpha + \frac{1}{2} B^* (U + zI)(U - zI)^{-1} B \\ &= i\alpha + \frac{1}{2} B^* (I + 2z(U - zI)^{-1} B \\ &= (i\alpha + \frac{B^* B}{2}) + z B^* U^* (I - zU^*)^{-1} B \\ &= \text{DT-impedance-conservative realization} \end{aligned}$$

DT-Herglotz-Agler class: the rational case

Impedance-inner

Φ is **DT-impedance-inner/positive-real-lossless** means

$$\Phi(z) = -\Phi(z)^* \text{ for a.e. } z \in \mathbb{T}^d$$

Theorem:

Given $\Phi: \mathbb{D}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, T.F.A.E.:

1. $\Phi \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathbb{C}^N)$ over \mathbb{D}^d , Φ is a rational, and Φ is DT-impedance-inner/positive-real-lossless
2. Φ has a finite-dimensional DT-impedance-conservative realization, i.e., there is an $M \times M$ unitary matrix U , an $M \times N$ matrix B , an $N \times N$ self-adjoint matrix α so that
$$\Phi(z) = i\alpha + \frac{B^*B}{2} + B^*U^*(I - Z(z)U^*)^{-1}Z(z)B$$

Proof:

Apply diagonal transform to reduce to results for the rational Schur-Agler class.

Schur-Agler class over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$

Theorem:

Given $W: (\mathbb{C}_+)^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(U, \mathcal{Y})$, T.F.A.E.:

1. $W \in CT-SA_d(U, \mathcal{Y})$, i.e., $W: \xrightarrow{\text{holo}} \mathcal{L}(U, \mathcal{Y})$ and $\mathbf{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d) \in \mathcal{CAL}(\mathcal{K})^d \Rightarrow \|W(\mathbf{T})\| \leq 1$
2. W has an CT-scattering-conservative Agler decomposition, i.e. there exist positive kernels $K_k(z, w)$ over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$ so that $I - W(z)W(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (z_k + \overline{w_k})K_k(z, w)$
3. W has a CT-scattering-conservative Staffans realization: $W(z) = C\&D \begin{bmatrix} (zI - A|_X)^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix}$ where $\begin{bmatrix} A\&B \\ C\&D \end{bmatrix}$ is a CT-scattering-conservative operator node:

CT-scattering-conservative operator node:

$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A&B \\ C&D \end{bmatrix}$ has domain \mathcal{D} dense in $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}$ whose graph

$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{U}) := \begin{bmatrix} A&B \\ C&D \\ I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$ is also \mathcal{J} -Lagrangian as a subspace of $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{Y} \\ \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}$

where $\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 \\ 0 & I_{\mathcal{Y}} & 0 & 0 \\ I_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{\mathcal{U}} \end{bmatrix}$.

Consequence: \mathbf{U} is a **system node**:

A generates a C_0 semigroup (in fact A is maximal dissipative) &
 $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{U}) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} x \\ u \end{bmatrix} : A|x x + Bu \in \mathcal{X} \}$

The bounded case: In case $\begin{bmatrix} A&B \\ C&D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ is bounded,
 \mathcal{J} -Lagrangian graph means $A^* + A + C^*C = 0$, $C^*D + B = 0$,
 $D^*D + I = 0$ with similar relations connected with the adjoint system.

History ($d = 1$): Arov-Nudelman (1996), Staffans (MTNS 2002),
B-Staffans (2006) and Smuljan (1986), Salamon (1987)

Realization theory for $\mathcal{SA}_d(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{Y})$ over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$

Proof of realization

1. Use **Cayley transform** to reduce to the Schur-Agler class over \mathbb{D}^d (**Staffans MTNS 2002**)
2. Use **lurking isotropic subspace** argument (**B.-Staffans 2006**)

The rational case:

Theorem: Given $W: (\mathbb{C}_+)^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$, T.F.A.E.:

1. $W \in \mathcal{SA}_d(\mathbb{C}^N)$ over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$ is **rational** and **unitary** on $(i\mathbb{R})^d$
2. W has a **finite-dimensional CT-scattering-conservative realization**: there is block matrix $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ with diagonal blocks of sizes $M \times M$ and $N \times N$ respectively with entries satisfying $A + A^* + C^*C = 0$, $C^*D + B = 0$, $D^*D + I = 0$ together with a spectral resolution on $\{P_1, \dots, P_d\}$ on \mathbb{C}^M such that $W(z) = D + C(Z(z) - A)^{-1}B$ with $Z(z) = z_1P_1 + \dots + z_dP_d$.

Herglotz-Agler over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$ and Bessmertnyi class

Herglotz-Agler-class over $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$:

$F: (\mathbb{C}_+)^d \xrightarrow{\text{holo}} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ in $\mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$ means

$\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_d) \in \mathcal{CAL}(\mathcal{K})^d \Rightarrow F(\mathbf{R}) \in \mathcal{AL}(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{K})$

Define $\Omega_d = \cup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} (\lambda \mathbb{C}_+)^d$

$F \in \mathcal{B}_d(\mathcal{U})$ means all the following hold:

- ▶ $F: \Omega_d \xrightarrow{\text{holo}} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$
- ▶ $F|_{(\mathbb{C}_+)^d} \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$
- ▶ $F(\lambda z_1, \dots, \lambda z_d) = \lambda F(z_1, \dots, z_d)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$
- ▶ $F(\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_d) = F(z_1, \dots, z_d)^*$

Remark:

For $d = 1$,

$\mathcal{B}_d(\mathcal{U}) = \{F: F(z) = \alpha z \text{ for some } \alpha = \alpha^* \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})\}$

Characterization of Bessmertnyi class

Theorem (Bessmertnyi, Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi (2004))

Given $F: \Omega_d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$, T.F.A.E.:

1. $F \in \mathcal{B}_d(\mathcal{U})$
2. There are holomorphic positive kernels $K_1(z, w), \dots, K_d(z, w)$ on $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$ so that $F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^d z_k K_k(z, w)$ for $z, w \in (\mathbb{C}_+)^d$
 \Rightarrow each K_k extends uniquely to holomorphic positive kernels on Ω_d with $K_k(\lambda z, \lambda w) = K_k(z, w)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$
3. $F(z) = D(z) - C(z)A(z)^{-1}B(z)$ where $\mathbf{U}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{bmatrix}$
has the form $\mathbf{U}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^d z_k \mathbf{U}_k$ with $\mathbf{U}_k = \mathbf{U}_k^* \geq 0$
(so $\mathbf{U}_k = \begin{bmatrix} A_k & B_k \\ C_k & D_k \end{bmatrix}$, $A(z) = \sum_{k=1}^d z_k A_k$, etc.)

Alternative formulation of Bessmertnyi Agler decomposition

Condition (2) holds \Leftrightarrow there are positive kernels K_1, \dots, K_d on $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$ so that the two decompositions

$$F(z) + F(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (z_k + \overline{w_k}) K_k(z, w)$$

$$F(z) - F(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (z_k - \overline{w_k}) K_k(z, w)$$

hold simultaneously.

The rational Bessmertnyi class

Theorem (B.–Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi (2011))

Given $F: \Omega_d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(U)$, T.F.A.E.:

1. F is rational and in $\mathcal{B}_d(\mathbb{C}^N)$
2. F has a finite-dimensional Bessmertnyi realization, i.e., there is a homogeneous matrix pencil

$$\mathbf{U}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^d z_k \mathbf{U}_k \text{ with } \mathbf{U}_k = \mathbf{U}_k^* \geq 0 \text{ so that}$$
$$F(z) = D(z) - C(z)A(z)^{-1}B(z)$$

Idea of proof:

Apply diagonal transform and Cayley transform of variables to reduce to Schur-Agler class on the disk. Bessmertnyi version of Schur-Agler class consists of $S(z) = D + C(I - Z(z)A)^{-1}Z(z)B$ where $\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies $U = U^{*-1} = U^{-1}$

Check **finitely many positive squares** property of Agler decompositions preserved by these transforms

A larger subclass of $\mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$

An enlarged Bessmertnyi class

Fact: Suppose that $F(z) = D(z) - C(z)A(z)^{-1}B(z)$ where $\mathbf{U}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{bmatrix}$ has the form $\mathbf{U}(z) = \mathbf{U}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^d z_k \mathbf{U}_k$ subject to (1) $\mathbf{U}_0 + \mathbf{U}_0^* = 0$ and (2) $\mathbf{U}_k = \mathbf{U}_k^* \geq 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$. Then $F \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$, i.e., $\mathcal{B}_{d,\text{enlarged}}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$

The single-variable case:

$d = 1 \Rightarrow$ new kind of realization for Herglotz class over \mathbb{C}_+ (and hence also for Nevanlinna/Weyl class over Π_+ (upper half plane))

Herglotz class over \mathbb{C}_+ (single-variable case)

Herglotz representation

Given $F: \mathbb{C}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ with $F(z) + F(z)^* \geq 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$, then there exists $\alpha = -\alpha^*$ and $\beta = \beta^* \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ so that $F(z) = \alpha + z\beta + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+zs}{s+z} d\mu(s)$ where μ is a finite positive operator measure

Nevanlinna representation

If $\int_{i\mathbb{R}} (1-s^2) d\mu(s) < \infty$ and $d\nu(s) = (1-s^2) d\mu(s)$, then $F(z) = \left[\alpha + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{s}{1-s^2} d\nu(s) \right] + z\beta + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu(s)}{s+z}$

Hypothesis to eliminate $\alpha + \beta z$ term and to guarantee

$\int_{i\mathbb{R}} (1-s^2) d\mu(s) < \infty$:

(H1): $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x |\langle F(x)u, u \rangle| < \infty$ for each $u \in \mathcal{U}$

Then $F(z) = \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\nu(s)}{s+z}$

Realization from Herglotz and Nevanlinna representatons

Herglotz representation

$$F(z) = \alpha + z\beta + \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \frac{1+zs}{s+z} d\mu(s) \Rightarrow$$

$$F(z) = \alpha + z\beta + B^*(I + zX)(X + zI)^{-1}B \text{ where}$$

$$X = -X^* = M_s \text{ on } L^\mu, B: u \mapsto \mu \cdot u \text{ in } L^\mu$$

Drawback

No useful generalization to $\mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$:

($Z(z)$ and X do not commute)

Hypothesis to eliminate $z\beta$ term:

$$(H2): \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} F(x)u = 0 \text{ for each } u \in \mathcal{U}$$

Realization for $\mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$ with no $z\beta$ term

Theorem

Given $F: (\mathbb{C}_+)^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ such that

d -variable (H2): $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} F(x\mathbf{1})u = 0$ for each $u \in \mathcal{U}$

\Rightarrow T.F.A.E.:

1. $F \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$, i.e., $\mathbf{R} = (R_1, \dots, R_d) \in \mathcal{CAL}(\mathcal{K})^d \Rightarrow F(\mathbf{R}) \in \mathcal{AL}(\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{K})$
2. F has an Agler decomposition:
 $F(z) + F(w)^* = \sum_{k=1}^d (z_k + \overline{w}_k) K_k(z, w)$ for positive kernels K_k on $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$
3. $F(z) = C\&D \begin{bmatrix} (Z(z) - A|x)^{-1}B \\ I \end{bmatrix}$ where $\begin{bmatrix} A\&B \\ C\&D \end{bmatrix}$ is a CT-impedance-conservative operator node

CT-impedance-conservative operator node

$\mathbf{U} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}$ is a **CT-impedance-conservative operator node** means

\mathbf{U} has domain \mathcal{D} dense in $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}$ whose graph $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{U}) := \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \\ I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{D}$ is

\mathcal{J} -Lagrangian in $\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \\ \mathcal{X} \\ \mathcal{U} \end{bmatrix}$ where now $\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{\mathcal{U}} \\ I_{\mathcal{X}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_{\mathcal{U}} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ is a **system node**

Bounded case

Then $\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix}$ with $A = -A^*$, $D = -D^*$

Then $F(z) = D + B^*(Z(z) - A)^{-1}B = D(z) - C(z)A(z)^{-1}B(z)$

where

$$\mathbf{U}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -A & B \\ -B^* & D \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Z(z) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{U}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^d z_k \mathbf{U}_k$$

with $\mathbf{U}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} -A & B \\ -B^* & D \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{U}_0^*$, $\mathbf{U}_k = \begin{bmatrix} P_k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, d$

$\Rightarrow F \in \mathcal{B}_{d, \text{enlarged}}(\mathcal{U})$

(but with A, B, B^*, D all unbounded in general (H2) case)

Proof of realization for $\mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$ -class with no z^β term

Method 1

Simultaneous diagonal & Cayley transform to Schur-Agler class over \mathbb{D}^d . Use (H2) to show that -1 not an eigenvalue of A in DT- $\mathcal{SA}_d(\mathcal{U})$ realization of $F\left(\frac{Z(z)-1}{Z(z)+1}\right) \Rightarrow$ can apply diagonal transform to get CT- $\mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$ realization (Staffans MTNS 2002)

Method 2

Lurking isotropic subspace: Apply (H2) to show that \mathcal{J} -Lagrangian subspace is a graph space

Method 3: $d = 1$

Use the integral representation with $\beta = 0$: nice exercise: suggested in Staffans MTNS 2002 but with details left to the reader!

Agler-McCarthy-Young realization

Theorem 1

Given a **generic** $F \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$, there exist a state space \mathcal{X} ,
a spectral resolution (P_1, \dots, P_d) on \mathcal{X} with $Z(z) = \sum_{k=1}^d z_k P_k$,
a possibly unbounded operator $X = -X^*$ on \mathcal{X} ,
a bounded operator $B: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$,
a bounded operator $D = -D^*$ on \mathcal{X} , so that

$$F(z) = D + B^* Z(z) B - B^* (Z(z) + Z(\bar{z}_0))(X + Z(z))^{-1} (Z(z) - Z(z_0)) B$$

where z_0 is a fixed point in $(\mathbb{C}_+)^d$

Proof

For **generic** F , 1 is not an eigenvalue of unitary colligation matrix \mathbf{U} of unitary GR-realization for $\mathcal{C} \circ F \left(\frac{Z(z)-1}{Z(z)+1} \right) \Rightarrow$ can apply Cayley transform of the whole colligation matrix!

Multivariable Nevanlinna theorem

Theorem 2 (Agler-McCarthy-Young)

Assume F generic in $\mathcal{HA}_c(\mathcal{U})$. Then T.F.A.E.:

1. d -variable (H1): $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x |\langle F(x\mathbf{1})u, u \rangle| < \infty$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$
2. There exist state space \mathcal{X} , spectral resolution (P_1, \dots, P_d) on \mathcal{X} with $Z(z) = \sum_{k=1}^d z_k P_k$, possibly unbounded $X = -X^*$ on \mathcal{X} and bounded $B: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ so that
$$F(z) = B^*(Z(z) + X)^{-1}B$$

$d = 1$: = Nevanlinna's result

Bessmertnyi form of Agler-McCarthy-Young realization

$$F(z) = D + B^*Z(z)B - B^*(Z(z) + Z(\bar{z}_0))(X + Z(z))^{-1}(Z(z) - Z(z_0))B \\ = D(z) - C(z) + A(z)^{-1}B(z) \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ C(z) & D(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & -Z(z_0)B \\ B^*Z(\bar{z}_0) & D \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} Z(z) & Z(z)B \\ B^*Z(z) & B^*Z(z)B \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\mathbf{U}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^d z_k \mathbf{U}_k \text{ where}$$

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} X & -Z(z_0)B \\ B^*Z(\bar{z}_0) & D \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{U}_0^*,$$

$$\mathbf{U}_k = \begin{bmatrix} P_k & P_k B \\ B^* P_k & B^* P_k B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ B^* \end{bmatrix} P_k \begin{bmatrix} I & B \end{bmatrix} \geq 0$$

\Rightarrow generic $F \in \mathcal{HA}_d(\mathcal{U})$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{d, \text{enlarged}}(\mathcal{U})$
(but with a single unbounded operator X)

versus Staffans realization for general (H2) case:

$$\mathbf{U}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} X & -B \\ B^* & D \end{bmatrix} = -\mathbf{U}_0^* \text{ where } X, B, B^*, D \text{ all unbounded in general}$$